

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
ASHEVILLE DIVISION
1:10 CR 66-9**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.

BRANDON MICHAEL WALKER.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard and being heard before the undersigned at the close of a Rule 11 proceeding that was held before this court on February 10, 2011. It appearing to the court at the call of this matter on for hearing the defendant was present with his attorney, Richard A. Culler and the government was present and represented through Assistant United States Attorney Tom Ascik. From the arguments of counsel for the defendant and the arguments of the Assistant United States Attorney and the records in this cause, the court makes the following findings:

Findings. On October 5, 2010 a bill of indictment was issued charging the defendant with conspiracy to traffic in cocaine base, commonly known as crack cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and 846. On February 10, 2011, the undersigned held an inquiry, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and accepted a plea of guilty of the defendant to that charge. At the end of the Rule 11 proceeding, this court presented the issue of whether or not the defendant should now be detained, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2).

Discussion. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) provides as follows:

(2) The judicial officer shall order that a person who has been found guilty of an offense in a case described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (f)(1) of section 3142 and is awaiting imposition or execution of sentence be detained unless ----

(A)(i) the judicial officer finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted; or

(ii) an attorney for the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed on the person; or

(B) the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community.

From an examination of the records in this cause, it appears the defendant has now entered a plea of guilty on February 10, 2011 to conspiracy to violate 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). That crime is one of the crimes that is referenced under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(C). The undersigned made an inquiry of Assistant United States Attorney Tom Ascik as to whether or not there was going to be a recommendation that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed upon the defendant. Mr. Ascik advised the court that such a recommendation could not be made in this matter. As a result, the undersigned cannot find there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted or that an attorney for the government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed upon defendant. It would thus appear, and the court is of the opinion that the court is required to apply the factors as set forth

under 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) which require the detention of the defendant.

Counsel for defendant has argued that the undersigned should consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines in determining whether or not 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) should be applied. In presenting this argument, counsel for defendant has argued that the sentence the defendant will receive, if the guidelines are applied, will be far less than a maximum term of ten years of imprisonment. In fact, counsel for the defendant has argued that if defendant were detained, defendant may serve a term of imprisonment more than that provided for by the United States Sentencing Guidelines. However, the statute does not allow the undersigned to consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines in determining whether or not 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) should be applied. The defendant has pled guilty to an offense which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act. Such a plea of guilty requires the undersigned to detain defendant unless the undersigned finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial be granted or the undersigned finds that an attorney for the government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed upon the person. The undersigned cannot find that either one of those factors apply in this case. As a result, the undersigned is required to detain defendant.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that the terms and conditions of pretrial release in this matter are hereby **REVOKED** and it is **ORDERED** the defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: February 14, 2011

Dennis L. Howell

Dennis L. Howell
United States Magistrate Judge

